

Solving a Mighty Mite Problem

Imagine you are a wealthy philanthropist with unlimited funds. Discuss the problem of scabies in the New Zealand-Australia-Pacific area. How will you use your wealth to correct the problem?

Neakiry Kivi, University of Otago


Introduction

Scabies is an extremely itchy skin disease caused by infestation of the mite, *Sarcoptes scabiei* var. *hominis*.(1,2) In 2016, scabies cases were estimated globally at 455 million per year, causing 3.8 million disability adjusted life-years, and our Pacific region is one of the most affected areas in the world.(3) Scabies is primarily transmitted via skin-to-skin contact, where the female mite burrows into the skin causing a hypersensitivity reaction on the trunk and limbs.(4) Scabies is often labelled as a disease of poverty and overcrowding.(1,5,6) Spread requires close personal contact, resulting from crowded housing conditions and institutional settings such as prisons, schools, hospitals, and care homes.(1,4) Stepping into the shoes of a wealthy philanthropist with unlimited funds, there is great opportunity to correct this problem of scabies in the New Zealand-Australia-Pacific area. In order to reach as close to elimination as possible, a five-pronged approach is proposed as there is no one simple solution.

Burden of Scabies

Mite burrows can lead to skin breaches and have direct downregulating effects on host immunity. Secondary infection from bacteria *Streptococcus pyogenes* and *Staphylococcus aureus* can cause impetigo, cellulitis, and abscesses. Further progression may result in post-streptococcal glomerulonephritis and acute rheumatic fever.(1,5) Afflicted individuals can experience unbearable itching causing bleeding and sensitive wounds. Consequences of these visible and painful lesions range from mental distress, lack of sleep and social stigmatization, to uncertainty of cure and burden of downstream disease.(5,7) Due to the nature of spread, outbreaks can easily affect families and wider communities.

Figure 1 – Social Determinants of Scabies in the New Zealand-Australia-Pacific Area



Understanding Determinants of Scabies

The social determinants that contribute to scabies operate from an individual to a national, and even global level. As depicted in Figure 1, there are a range of factors that reinforce inequitable scabies outcomes in our New Zealand-Australia-Pacific region. Identifying the various pathways that lead to scabies will guide the approach to correcting the problem. New Zealand, Australia, and the Pacific Islands have marked differences in population size, ethnic diversity, land mass, governmental structures, economic worth, and historical context, to name a few. Thus, the determinants of scabies in each region will differ. Correcting the problem of scabies in such varied environments will require multiple strategies and interventions at a range of upstream and downstream levels.

1. Identifying Prevalence

It is vital to accurately quantify the burden of scabies in the New Zealand-Australia-Pacific region before any intervention. A 2015 systematic review aimed to identify the prevalence of scabies worldwide yet concluded the overall quality of studies were poor.(8) However, islands of the Pacific were noted to be the most affected with the highest prevalence in the general population found to be in Papua New Guinea at 71%. Indigenous communities of Northern Australia were also recognised to have high scabies prevalence.(8) Despite a lack of national data for New Zealand, scabies is believed to disproportionately burden Māori and Pacific populations.(9) Providing funding for high-quality national studies to measure disease occurrence in New Zealand, Australia, and Pacific Islands allows for identification of areas or population groups that are most affected. This information is crucial for targeting where scabies control strategies should be implemented. Continued measurements over time can also illustrate potential successes of attempts at scabies reduction.

2. Streamlining Diagnosis

Early and accurate detection of a scabies case is critical for initiating treatment to prevent further spread. However, the practice of clinically diagnosing scabies can be challenging as there are limited signs specific to an infestation.(4) The International Alliance for the Control of Scabies developed a criteria for scabies diagnosis which factors in patient history, physical examination findings, and direct visualization of mites.(10) Although this provides great opportunity for consistency and standardization, there is still need for additional practices, tools, and tests that offer accurate diagnosis especially in low-resource or remote areas.(1) Current strategies such as light microscopy, videodermatoscopy, and histopathological diagnosis can be limited by high cost and lengthy turn-around time.(11) To date, no point-of-care or simple laboratory test has been established and refined for routine use. Continued research into genomic sequencing, serological-assay, and molecular-based techniques would benefit from increased funding to expand investigations of new diagnostic tools and methods. For instance, several polymerase chain reaction methods show potential for rapid and accurate diagnosis, however, their validity is limited by lack of testing in humans.(12) Creating and utilising low-cost equipment can allow for delivery of high-quality care to more rural and remote areas of Northern Australia and the Pacific Islands. For example, initial trials into the use of affordable videomicroscopes as tools for scabies diagnosis found they were just as effective as costly videodermatoscopes.(13) In addition, expansion of convolutional neural networks, a type of artificial intelligence, to recognise the appearance of scabies lesions in combination with imaging could be another accurate, quick and low-cost diagnostic tool.(14) Various strands of investigation have been discussed, all advantageous in differing ways. Therefore, providing funding into these diverse research fields allows for a broad toolkit clinicians have available for scabies diagnosis.

3. Effective Treatments

Many treatments for scabies are available, however there are still several gaps in knowledge and accessibility that exist. Permethrin cream is widely used however there is often poor compliance among index cases and contacts due to skin irritation, inadequate application and inconvenience.(15) In New Zealand, 5% permethrin cream is fully funded by the government, however the more practical and effective oral ivermectin requires a special authority so is less accessible.(16) Regarding ivermectin, further study is needed into its safety in young children and pregnant women, as well as optimising dosage.(17) Within the same family as ivermectin, moxidectin may be a promising alternative.(18) Moxidectin has a longer half-life which covers the entire scabies mite life cycle, so may be suitable as a single oral dose. In-vitro studies have found moxidectin is superior to ivermectin for scabies treatment.(19) Further exploration may result in development of treatments which are more effective, safe, and tolerable than what is currently available. This is another area of research that should be provided funding to advance investigations. In terms of a program or strategy for treating scabies, mass drug administration (MDA) is a method of delivering treatment to whole communities regardless of whether individuals have a positive diagnosis of scabies. The aim is to aggressively interrupt transmission of the mites, thereby reducing and preventing cases.(20) A global systematic review of twelve scabies MDA programmes using ivermectin demonstrated on average a 22% absolute and 73% relative reduction of scabies prevalence.(21) The SHIFT trial involving three Fijian islands resulted in a 94% relative reduction of scabies after 12 months and maintained an 89% relative reduction after 24 months, demonstrating the prolonged protection and effect of MDA.(22) The World Scabies Program is an organisation that have implemented successful MDA interventions in Fiji and the Solomon Islands.(23) Philanthropic partnership could allow for the extension of MDA programs into other Pacific Islands. Despite the success of MDA in some settings, political and social nuances of mass treatment may be less acceptable in higher-income urban settings of New Zealand and Australia. This illustrates why a multi-faceted approach is essential for correcting the problem of scabies.

4. Improving Upstream Factors

Disparities in scabies burden between indigenous and non-indigenous populations are prevalent in New Zealand and Australia.(8,9) There are a range of scabies risk factors such as overcrowded housing, health status, health literacy, and lack of engagement with primary care which are all associated with low socioeconomic status. Māori and Pasifika in New Zealand, and Aboriginal communities in Australia, are more likely to be negatively impacted by all these determinants, and hence experience worse health outcomes.(3,24) Poor housing and living conditions associated with low economic status is a recognised driver of scabies transmission.(3,6) In New Zealand, there is a housing and overcrowding crisis that disproportionately affects Māori and Pasifika.(25) Only 4% of NZ Europeans live in crowded housing conditions, compared with 38% of Pasifika and 20% of Māori.(26) In Australia, 24% of state-owned and managed Indigenous housing are classed as overcrowded dwellings.(27) Charitable organisations across New Zealand and Australia such as the Housing Foundation, Habitat for Humanity, and the Foundation for Indigenous Sustainable Health all build and repair homes for sustainable living.(28–30) Partnership and financial contribution to these groups could allow more people to live in healthy homes. Countries such as Singapore have seen a positive impact on the burden of skin infections, including scabies, and their complications such as post-streptococcal glomerulonephritis with government-led improvements in housing.(31) Therefore, improving housing quality in communities with a high burden of scabies can contribute to a reduction in prevalence by cutting off key transmission methods of the mites. Scabies is so tightly interwoven with communities of high deprivation, ethnic inequities, and risk of comorbid and downstream disease that its spread will continue to be perpetuated unless upstream determinants of health are addressed.

5. Acknowledging Cultural Values

Māori, Pasifika and Aboriginal cultural beliefs which wrestle with colonial European structures may negatively impact their engagement with healthcare, personal perceptions, social interaction, and health literacy, which can all contribute to a greater burden of scabies disease. An example is the Māori concept of “whakamā” which approximates to feelings of shame, inferiority, inadequacy, and self-doubt.(32) Social exclusion, stigmatisation, and embarrassment are commonly experienced by those with scabies.(7) Manifestations of whakamā can result in isolation from social supports and reduced health seeking behaviour.(1,3) Among Aboriginal and some Pacific cultures, scabies may be ‘normalised’ and perceived as harmless or minor due to its common occurrence.(31) Skin infections can be believed to clear up on their own and hence some are less likely to report infestation, seek help, and participate in education.(24,33) A skin health program operating in remote Aboriginal communities of East Arnhem, Australia implemented routine scabies screening, treatment in clinics and homes, and distribution of permethrin cream. Despite their efforts, prevalence remained unchanged after 5 years.(34) They were unable to achieve adequate levels of community involvement. There is a need for collaborative, empowering, and mana-enhancing approaches which encourage people to engage with treatment. This is an area where funding further research and qualitative studies would be valuable to understand the perspectives of communities most burdened by scabies. Aboriginal people generally seek their traditional bush medicine over Western medicine as they feel more in control of their health.(35) Tea tree oil aligns with Indigenous healing culture and demonstrates scabicial properties. Incorporating this traditional ingredient into treatment programmes may result in greater cultural acceptance. Pacific people’s individual health is reflected in the wellbeing of their family and communities – a more holistic view.(36) Acknowledging Māori cultural beliefs during patient interactions can improve health literacy and health outcomes.(35) These are all important perspectives that could be reflected in social campaigns. Integrating cultural beliefs and maintaining community engagement will underpin the success of any scabies intervention.

Conclusion

Current health systems and structures in place do little to protect vulnerable populations from poor scabies outcomes. Diagnosis is difficult, even by experienced clinicians, and current treatments are not always effective. Delay in initial diagnosis often means close contacts are already infected, and the cycle of spread continues. With the unlimited resources of a wealthy philanthropist, five areas to receive generous funding have been identified with the goal of correcting the problem of scabies in the New Zealand-Australia-Pacific region:

1. Data collection and prevalence studies
2. Development of accurate, rapid, low-cost diagnostic tools
3. Enhancing current treatment and expanding treatment programs
4. Looking upstream to improve housing quality
5. Understanding cultural beliefs to strengthen engagement

There is no simple solution for eliminating scabies. Without accurate diagnostic methods, data from prevalence studies may not be reliable. A miracle cure will provide little benefit if not socially acceptable and culturally safe. Aggressive treatment campaigns are not a substitute for improving quality of housing, access to healthcare, and socioeconomic status. The factors that contribute to poor scabies outcomes all work in tandem, so attending to only some will not be enough. With unlimited funds comes limitless opportunity. Therefore, all five areas described must be addressed to effectively combat scabies.

References

1. Engelman D, Steer AC. Control Strategies for Scabies. *Tropical Medicine and Infectious Disease* [Internet]. 2018 Sep 5 [cited 2021 Jul 9];3(3):1–11. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6160909/>
2. Lakeid SJ, Engelman D, Sokana O, Nasi T, Boaraid D, Grobler AC, et al. Defining the need for public health control of scabies in Solomon Islands. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases* [Internet]. 2021 Feb 22;15(2):1–13. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0009142.t001>
3. Engelman D, Cantey PT, Marks M, Solomon AW, Chang AY, Chosidow O, et al. The public health control of scabies: priorities for research and action. *The Lancet* [Internet]. 2019 Jul 6 [cited 2021 Jul 9];394(10192):81–92. Available from: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(19\)31136-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31136-5)
4. Skin Infections in Pacific peoples [Internet]. *Best Practice Journal*. 2010 [cited 2021 Jul 9]. p. 23–8. Available from: <https://bpac.org.nz/BPJ/2010/November/skin.aspx>
5. Carville K. Scratching the surface: scabies in the South-West Pacific region. *Papua New Guinea Medical Journal* [Internet]. 2018 Mar 1 [cited 2021 Jul 9];61(1–4):63–70. Available from: <https://search.informit.org/doi/abs/10.3316/informit.772392575726955>
6. Walton SF, Currie BJ. Scabies. In: Loukas A, editor. *Neglected Tropical Diseases - Oceania* [Internet]. Switzerland: Springer International Publishing ; 2016 [cited 2021 Jul 9]. p. 249–72. Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-43148-2_10
7. Worth C, Heukelbach J, Fengler G, Walter B, Liesenfeld O, Feldmeier H. Impaired quality of life in adults and children with scabies from an impoverished community in Brazil. *International Journal of Dermatology* [Internet]. 2012 Mar 1 [cited 2021 Jul 10];51(3):275–82. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/j.1365-4632.2011.05017.x>
8. Romani L, Steer AC, Whitfield MJ, Kaldor JM. Prevalence of scabies and impetigo worldwide: a systematic review. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*. 2015 Aug;15(8).
9. Thornley S, Sundborn G, Arbuckle M, Loring B, Heather M, Reynolds E. Is impetigo a missed opportunity for scabies treatment? *NZMJ* [Internet]. 2018 Aug 31 [cited 2021 Jul 9];131(1481):78–81. Available from: www.nzma.org.nz/journal
10. Engelman D, Yoshizumi J, Hay RJ, Osti M, Micali G, Norton S, et al. The 2020 International Alliance for the Control of Scabies Consensus Criteria for the Diagnosis of Scabies. *British Journal of Dermatology*. 2020 Nov 29;183(5).
11. Bernigaud C, Fischer K, Chosidow O. The Management of Scabies in the 21st Century: Past, Advances and Potentials. *Acta Dermato Venereologica*. 2020 Mar 24;100(9).
12. Siddig EE, Hay R. Laboratory-based diagnosis of scabies: a review of the current status. *Transactions of The Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* [Internet]. 2021 Mar 25 [cited 2021 Oct 11]; Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1093/trstmh/trab049>
13. Micali G, Lacarrubba F, Verzi AE, Nasca MR. Low-Cost Equipment for Diagnosis and Management of Endemic Scabies Outbreaks in Underserved Populations. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2015 Jan 15;60(2).
14. Barsoum R. Convolutional neural networks in dermatology [Internet]. *Dermnet NZ*. 2018 [cited 2021 Sep 7]. Available from: <https://dermnetnz.org/topics/convolutional-neural-networks-in-dermatology>
15. Thean LJ, Engelman D, Kaldor J, Steer AC. Scabies: New opportunities for management and population control. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* [Internet]. 2019 Feb 1 [cited 2021 Jul 9];38(2):211–3. Available from: https://journals.lww.com/pidj/Fulltext/2019/02000/Scabies__New_Opportunities_for_Management_and.26.aspx
16. McLean FE. The elimination of scabies: a task for our generation. *International Journal of Dermatology* [Internet]. 2013 Oct 1 [cited 2021 Jul 9];52(10):1213–23. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/ijd.12101>
17. El-Moamly AA. Scabies as a part of the World Health Organization roadmap for neglected tropical diseases 2021–2030: what we know and what we need to do for global control. *Tropical Medicine and Health*. 2021 Dec 16;49(1).
18. Mounsey KE, Bernigaud C, Chosidow O, McCarthy JS. Prospects for Moxidectin as a New Oral Treatment for Human Scabies. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases*. 2016 Mar 17;10(3).

19. Mounsey KE, Walton SF, Innes A, Cash-Deans S, McCarthy JS. In Vitro Efficacy of Moxidectin versus Ivermectin against *Sarcoptes scabiei*. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*. 2017 Aug;61(8).
20. Webster JP, Molyneux DH, Hotez PJ, Fenwick A. The contribution of mass drug administration to global health: past, present and future. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* [Internet]. 2014 Jun 19 [cited 2021 Jul 28];369(1645):1–12. Available from: [/pmc/articles/PMC4024227/](https://pmc/articles/PMC4024227/)
21. Rinaldi G, Porter K. Mass drug administration for endemic scabies: a systematic review. *Tropical Diseases, Travel Medicine and Vaccines* 2021 7:1 [Internet]. 2021 Jul 1 [cited 2021 Jul 29];7(1):1–13. Available from: <https://tdtmvjournal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s40794-021-00143-5>
22. Romani L, Whitfield MJ, Koroivueta J, Kama M, Wand H, Tikoduadua L, et al. Mass Drug Administration for Scabies — 2 Years of Follow-up. *The New England Journal of Medicine* [Internet]. 2019 Jun 26 [cited 2021 Jul 28];381(2):186–7. Available from: <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMc1808439>
23. World Scabies Program Information Package. [cited 2021 Jul 29]; Available from: <https://www.worldscabiesprogram.org/media/f0viplf0/wsp-comms-information-package-2021-4.pdf>
24. White C, Reid S, Damiris V, Percy K. Health Literacy and the Prevention and Management of Skin Infections [Internet]. Ministry of Health. 2013 [cited 2021 Jul 9]. Available from: <https://www.health.govt.nz/publication/health-literacy-and-prevention-and-management-skin-infections>
25. Household crowding: The Social Report 2016 [Internet]. Ministry of Social Development. [cited 2021 Jul 17]. Available from: <https://socialreport.msd.govt.nz/economic-standard-of-living/household-crowding.html>
26. le Lievre R, Griffin E. Analysis of Household Crowding Based on Census 2013 data [Internet]. Ministry of Health. 2014 [cited 2021 Jul 16]. Available from: www.health.govt.nz
27. Housing assistance in Australia 2019 [Internet]. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. 2019 [cited 2021 Sep 7]. Available from: <https://www.aihw.gov.au/reports/housing-assistance/housing-assistance-in-australia-2019/contents/overcrowding-and-underutilisation>
28. About Us [Internet]. Foundation for Indigenous Sustainable Health. [cited 2021 Oct 11]. Available from: <https://fish.asn.au/about-us/>
29. What we do [Internet]. Habitat for Humanity Australia. [cited 2021 Oct 11]. Available from: <https://www.habitat.org.au/what-we-do/>
30. About Housing Foundation [Internet]. Housing Foundation NZ. [cited 2021 Oct 11]. Available from: <https://www.nzhf.org/about-us/>
31. Yeoh DK, Anderson A, Cleland G, Bowen AC. Are scabies and impetigo “normalised”? A cross-sectional comparative study of hospitalised children in northern Australia assessing clinical recognition and treatment of skin infections. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases* [Internet]. 2017 Jul 3 [cited 2021 Jul 9];11(7):1–16. Available from: <https://journals.plos.org/plosntds/article?id=10.1371/journal.pntd.0005726>
32. Sachdev PS. Whakama: culturally determined behaviour in the New Zealand Maori. *Psychological Medicine* [Internet]. 1990 [cited 2021 Jul 16];20(2):433–44. Available from: <https://www-cambridge-org.wmezproxy.wnmeds.ac.nz/core/journals/psychological-medicine/article/whakama-culturally-determined-behaviour-in-the-new-zealand-maori/D9E9B3CDF66C1E86E9B7CA9EC2A7A55D>
33. Taiaroa G, Matalavea B, Tafuna’i M, Lacey JA, Price DJ, Isaia L, et al. Scabies and impetigo in Samoa: A school-based clinical and molecular epidemiological study. *The Lancet Regional Health - Western Pacific* [Internet]. 2021 Jan 1 [cited 2021 Jul 9];6:1–11. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lanwpc.2020.10>
34. la Vincente S, Kearns T, Connors C, Cameron S, Carapetis J, Andrews R. Community Management of Endemic Scabies in Remote Aboriginal Communities of Northern Australia: Low Treatment Uptake and High Ongoing Acquisition. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*. 2009 May 26;3(5).
35. Amgarth-Duff I, Hendrickx D, Bowen A, Carapetis J, Chibawe R, Samson M, et al. Talking skin: attitudes and practices around skin infections, treatment options, and their clinical management in a remote region in Western Australia. *Rural and Remote Health*. 2019 Sep 21;19(3).
36. Quirke T, Edwards D, Brewerton M. Health and Pacific Peoples in New Zealand [Internet]. Statistics New Zealand and Ministry of Pacific Island Affairs. 2011 [cited 2021 Jul 16]. p. 1–64. Available from: www.stats.govt.nz